

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

The ionisation equation in a relativistic gas

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 2347

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/16/10/029)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 16:17

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

The ionisation equation in a relativistic gas

S Kichenassamy⁺ and R A Krikorian[‡]

 Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Equipe de Recherche Associée au CNRS No 533, 11, rue P et M Curie, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

‡ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique Théorique du Collège de France, Institut d'Astrophysique,
 98 bis Bld Arago, 74014 Paris, France

Received 30 November 1982, in final form 1 March 1983

Abstract. By deriving the relativistic form of the ionisation equation for a perfect gas it is shown that the usual Saha equation is valid to 3% for temperatures below one hundred million Kelvin. Beyond 10^9 K, the regular Saha equation is seriously incorrect and a relativistic distribution function for electrons must be taken into account. Approximate forms are derived when only the electrons are relativistic (appropriate up to 10^{12} K) and also for the ultrarelativistic case (temperatures greater than 10^{15} K).

1. Introduction

The ionisation equation has achieved substantial progress by relating the main line of the spectral sequence and luminosity effects in stellar spectra to the stellar temperature as well as to the electronic pressure. Although this theory gives very good results in many actual astrophysical situations, the case where the prevailing conditions are relativistic deserves fuller study, especially with respect to the electron gas; this may have some interest in the study of compact sources (Jones and Hardee 1979, Gould 1981) and would define the limits of validity of the non-relativistic formula.

The ionisation equation has been derived by Saha (1920, 1921) from thermodynamical considerations, by Fowler (1923, 1955) with the aid of statistical mechanics and without using the entropy concept, and by Menzel (1933) in a simplified version using Boltzmann's law of distribution of atoms in different energy states. We derive here the relativistic generalisation of the Saha equation by using the concepts and methods developed by Synge (1957) in the study of a relativistic gas mixture and introducing the most probable state by means of the same considerations as those used in the non-relativistic case by Aller (1963).

We give in § 2 the derivation of the relativistic ionisation equation in the simple case of a gas consisting of neutral atoms in their lowest state, singly ionised atoms and electrons; we exhibit in § 3 the limiting cases of the formula so obtained and in concluding remarks (§ 4) we examine the limits of validity of the non-relativistic formula.

2. The ionisation equation

We consider the thermal equilibrium of a gas mixture of three components: neutral atoms A of proper mass m_1 in their lowest state, free ions A^+ of proper mass m_2 and

electrons e of proper mass m_3 in dissociative equilibrium according to

$$\mathbf{A}^{+} + \mathbf{e} \leftrightarrows \mathbf{A}. \tag{2.1}$$

In a given phase of the gas there will be ν_1 neutral atoms, ν_2 free ions and ν_3 electrons subject to the conditions

$$X = v_1 + v_2 = \text{constant}, \qquad Y = v_1 + v_3 = \text{constant}.$$
 (2.2)

Let us denote by N_A (A = 1, 2, 3) the distribution functions of the atoms, ions and electrons respectively. For a small target of three-volume dS normal to a unit time-like vector $u'(u'u_r = -1)$ we have the occupation numbers

$$\nu_{Ai} = N_A \,\mathrm{d}S \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_{Ai} \tag{2.3}$$

where $d\Omega_{Ai}$ defines for the state *i* of each type of particle an infinitesimal cell of the momentum three-space orthogonal to u'; it is assumed to have the same content for all types of particles, so that we may compare their relative probabilities. If G_{Ai} denote the statistical weights of the gas components in the state *i*,

$$G_{Ai} = g_A \, \mathrm{d}S \, \mathrm{d}\Omega_{Ai}/h^3 \tag{2.4}$$

where g_A is the individual weight of the particle A and h^3 the unit phase volume (Joos 1959, Sommerfeld 1964), the number W of permutabilities is given by

$$W = \left(X!Y! / \prod_{A \to i} \nu_{Ai}\right) \prod_{A \to i} G_{Ai}^{\nu_{Ai}}.$$
(2.5)

The equilibrium state, i.e. the most probable state, corresponds to the maximum of W or of the entropy integral. G_{Ai} and ν_{Ai} are assumed to be very large so that the Stirling approximation may be used. Thus, taking into account (2.2), we get

d log
$$W = -[\log(N_1h^3/g_1) + 2] dN_1$$

-[log(N_2h^3/g_2) + 1] $dN_2 - [\log(N_3h^3/g_3) + 1] dN_3 = 0.$ (2.6)

This equation must be satisfied along with three other constraints, in thermal equilibrium; two of them are obtained from (2.2) and (2.3), keeping the phase cells the same during the variation of N_A ; the third constraint comes out from the conservation of the total four-momentum. Varying N_A and introducing the six Lagrange multipliers α , β and ξ' , we have

$$N_A = C_A \exp(\xi' P_r) \tag{2.7a}$$

where P_r is the four-momentum of a typical particle and

$$C_{1} = (g_{1}/h^{3}) \exp(-2 + \alpha + \beta), \qquad C_{2} = (g_{2}/h^{3}) \exp(-1 + \alpha),$$

$$C_{3} = (g_{3}/h^{3}) \exp(-1 + \beta). \qquad (2.7b)$$

Defining the numerical flux vector

$$N_{Ar} = C_A \int P_r \exp(\xi \lambda' P_r) \, \mathrm{d}\omega_A \qquad (2.8a)$$

where $d\omega_A$ denotes the absolute two-content of the momentum three-cell on the pseudosphere of radius $m_A c$ and λ' the unit time-like vector

$$\lambda' = \xi'/\xi, \qquad \xi = |\xi,\xi'|^{1/2}, \tag{2.8b}$$

we find

$$C_{A} = (N_{A(0)}\xi) / [4\pi m_{A}^{2} c^{2} K_{2}(x_{A})], \qquad x_{A} = m_{A} c\xi, \qquad (2.9a)$$

in which λ' determine the rest frame of the gas and $N_{A(0)}$ the numerical rest densities of the components of the gas; the Bessel function $K_n(x)$ is defined for our purposes by

$$K_n(x) = \int_0^\infty \exp(x \cosh t) \cosh nt \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.9b}$$

Combining (2.7b) and (2.9a) we get

$$I_{R} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{N_{2(0)}N_{3(0)}}{N_{1(0)}}\right)_{R} = \frac{4\pi}{\xi h^{3}} \frac{g_{2}g_{3}}{g_{1}} \left(\frac{m_{2}m_{3}c}{m_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{K_{2}(x_{2})K_{2}(x_{3})}{K_{2}(x_{1})}.$$
 (2.10)

This equation constitutes the relativistic generalisation of the Saha ionisation equation for a perfect gas with the energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{rs} = \sum_{A} C_{A} \int P_{r} P_{s} \exp(\xi \lambda' P_{r}) \, \mathrm{d}\omega_{A}$$
(2.11)

where the effective temperature T is given by

$$T = c/k\xi \tag{2.12}$$

with k Boltzmann's constant and c the velocity of light.

3. Limiting cases

We show first that (2.14) reduces to the Saha ionisation equation for low effective temperature

$$x_A = m_A c^2 / kT \gg 1$$
 for all components (3.1)

and then we investigate the cases when:

(a) equation (3.1) is satisfied only for atoms and ions whereas for electrons $x_3 \ll 1$;

(b) equation (3.1) is replaced by $x_A \ll 1$ for all components, i.e. for very high effective temperature.

3.1. The non-relativistic case

When (3.1) is satisfied for all components ($T \le 10^6$ K) we may approximate $K_2(x_A)$ by

$$K_2(x_A) \simeq (\pi/2x_A)^{1/2} \exp(-x_A)$$
 (3.2)

and equation (2.10) yields

$$I_{\rm NR} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{N_{2(0)}N_{3(0)}}{N_{1(0)}}\right)_{\rm NR} \approx \frac{g_2 g_3}{g_1 h^3} \left(\frac{2\pi m_2 m_3}{m_1} kT\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\chi}{kT}\right)$$
(3.3*a*)

. ...

where χ is the ionisation energy such that

$$\chi = (m_2 + m_3 - m_1)c^2. \tag{3.3b}$$

If, moreover, we assume $m_2/m_1 \approx 1$, i.e. atom and ion masses comparable, we get the well known Saha ionisation equation

$$I_{\rm S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{N_{2(0)}N_{3(0)}}{N_{1(0)}}\right)_{\rm S} = \frac{g_2 g_3}{g_1 h^3} (2\pi m_3 kT)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\chi}{kT}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

3.2. The relativistic electrons case

When the temperature lies between 10^{10} K and 10^{12} K, atoms and ions are non-relativistic whereas electrons are highly relativistic ($x_3 \ll 1$) and

$$K_2(x_3) \simeq 2/x_3^2. \tag{3.5}$$

We get, taking into account (3.5) and $m_1/m_2 \simeq 1$,

$$\left(\frac{N_{2(0)}N_{3(0)}}{N_{1(0)}}\right)_{\rm R_e} \simeq \frac{g_2 g_3}{g_1 h^3} 8\pi \left(\frac{kT}{c}\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{m_3 c^2}{kT} + \ldots\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\chi}{kT}\right).$$
(3.6)

3.3. The ultrarelativistic case

When the effective temperature is very high $(T \ge 10^{15} \text{K})$ all particles are highly relativistic $(x_A \ll 1)$. Assuming $m_1/m_2 \simeq 1$, we get the ultrarelativistic ionisation formula

$$\left(\frac{N_{2(0)}N_{3(0)}}{N_{1(0)}}\right)_{\rm UR} \simeq \frac{g_2g_3}{g_1h^3} \left(\frac{kT}{c}\right)^3. \tag{3.7}$$

4. Concluding remarks

From (2.10), (3.3a) and (3.4) we obtain the useful formula

$$I_{\rm R}/I_{\rm S} \simeq I_{\rm R}/I_{\rm NR} = L_2 L_3/L_1$$
 (4.1)

where $L_A = K_2(x_A)(2x_A/\pi)^{1/2} e^{x_A}$ with $x_1 = 1.087 \times 10^{13} T^{-1}$, $x_2 = 1.086 \times 10^{13} T^{-1}$ and $x_3 = 5.929 \times 10^9 T^{-1}$. However, for temperatures between 10^8 K and 10^{10} K, L_1 and L_2 may be approximated to 1 so that such astrophysical situations include possibly cases where electrons are weakly relativistic. Using values of $K_2(x)$ from Abramowitz and Stegun (1964), we may give as an illustration the following table.

T	10^4	10 ⁶	10 ⁸	3.10 ⁸	10°	10 ¹⁰	10 ¹²
$I_{\rm R}/I_{\rm S}$	1	1	1.03	1.09	1.34	5.76	3.5×10^{3}

It appears therefore that:

(a) the usual Saha equation can be safely used below 10^6 K ;

(b) it remains valid to 3% for temperatures about 10^8 K;

(c) it is seriously incorrect beyond 10^9 K as the relativistic Jüttner distribution function should then be used for electrons.

Beyond 10^{11} K we have $x_3 \ll 0.1$ and $x_3^2 K_2(x_3) \approx 2$ so that $L_3 \approx 0.1105 (10^{-9}T)^{3/2}$; relativistic corrections are then very important, as the equilibrium electronic density

 $N_3(0)$ is also L_3 times higher than the corresponding non-relativistic density. However, the above considerations apply only to the case of a perfect gas where particles interact by binary collisions.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referees for their kind suggestions.

References

Abramowitz M and Stegun I 1964 Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Washington, DC: US Dept of Commerce)
Aller L H 1963 Astrophysics, The Atmospheres of the Sun and Stars (New York: Ronald Press) ch 3
Fowler R H 1923 Phil. Mag. 45 1

1955 Statistical Mechanics (Cambridge: CUP)
Gould R J 1981 Phys. Fluids 24 102
Jones T W and Hardee P E 1979 Astrophys. J. 228 268
Joos G 1959 Theoretical Physics (New York: Hafner)
Menzel D H 1933 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 19 40
Saha M N 1920 Phil. Mag. 40 472
1921 Proc. R. Soc. A 99 136
Sommerfeld A 1964 Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics (New York: Academic) ch IV
Synge J L 1957 The Relativistic Gas (Amsterdam: North-Holland) ch IV